

REMARKS

Very thanks for Examiner's suggestion and thanks for finding the amended claim 3 has no required underlined mark, the applicant now re-writes the claim 3 and underlines the changed portion so as to differ from the original claim 3.

In the prior amendments, the words appear in the amended claim 3 such as a word "building" now is replaced by a word "forming"(amended claim 3, line 6), a word "playing" now is replaced by "displaying" (amended claim 3, line 7), a word "color meter" now is substituted for "colormeter". (amended claim 3, line 9-10). Because they are all re-written as a new added portion, so the changed words is no mentioned in the amended claim, but directly typed the correct words in that.

The new claim 4 is also typed as a new claim, there has no need to add the underline or strikethrough marks in that.

Since the original claim 3 is allowed in condition and the applicant has amended the claims according to the suggestions of the Examiner, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted

Chang. Chang - I

Dated: 11/29/2005

115 P.O.Box 116-13,

Taipei, Taiwan